

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,392	09/16/2003	Eduard A. Jaeger		4422	
7:	590 01/18/2005		EXAMINER		
Irving Keschner			RESTIFO, JEFFREY J		
Suite 1150					
21515 Hawthorne Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Torrance, CA	90503		3618		
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/19/2009	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Office Action Summary		10/664,392	JAEGER, EDUARD A.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jeffrey J. Restifo	3618	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is not of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AE.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	October 2004.		٠
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withded Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 October 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ c ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) [a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life	nts have been received. Ints have been received in A Iority documents have been Iority (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachmen	• •	" .	(PTO 440)	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	ē

Application/Control Number: 10/664,392 Page 2

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgments

1. Acknowledgment is made of the amendment filed 10/29/04.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on 10/29/04. These drawings are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunbridge et al. (US2001/0022246 A1) and in further view of Geschwender (US 4,611,684 A).

With respect to claim 1, Dunbridge et al. discloses an apparatus for mounting a power tool 32 to a vehicle 10, said vehicle having a frame member 18, said power tool having a battery pack 50, a tool shaft member 34, and a means 32 (reference numeral used twice in publication) for controlling an on/off mode of the tool, a transmission system (or chain, not numbered), means 42,46 for mounting said tool to said vehicle, first means 40 for coupling said tool shaft to a driven wheel 22, and a second means 38 for coupling said transmission to said vehicle, as shown in figures 1-7. Dunbridge et al.

does not disclose a "free wheel assembly" for preventing damage to motor when going in reverse. Geschwender does disclose a free wheel assembly or ratchet wheel on a powered scooter for preventing the power plant from reverse rotation which can cause damage, as recited in column 4, lines 44-61. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the first coupling means of the motorized scooter of Dunbridge et al. with a free wheel assembly of Geschwender in order to prevent damage to the tool motor when the vehicle goes in reverse or if the tool is off.

With respect to claim 2, Dunbridge et al. discloses said mounting bracket as forming a collar member 44 coupled to said mounting bracket 42, wherein said tool is at a predetermined angle relative to the longitudinal axis of the vehicle, as shown in figures 3, 4, and 6.

With respect to claim 3, Dunbridge discloses a holding device 60 for releasably secure the tool to the mounting plate, as shown in figures 6 and 7.

With respect to claim 4, Dunbridge discloses the tool as having a shaft receiving member, (or chuck, not numbered), as shown in figure 3.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 10/29/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention

Page 4

Art Unit: 3618

where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the use of one way free wheels in the art of scooters and bikes is viewed as well known in order to prevent unwanted reverse rotation which can cause damage to motors and/or occupants.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey J. Restifo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0579. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10:00-6:00), alternate Friday off.

Art Unit: 3618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher P. Ellis can be reached on (703) 305-0168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey J. Restifo Examiner Art Unit 3618

CHRISTOPHER P. ELLIS JUT I. JAPAN BASANT EXAMINER TREUNICULUEY CENTER 0000